

Explicit Form of the Evolution Operator of Tavis–Cummings Model : Three and Four Atoms Cases

Kazuyuki FUJII ^{*}, Kyoko HIGASHIDA [†], Ryosuke KATO [‡]

Tatsuo SUZUKI [§], Yukako WADA [¶]

^{*,†,‡,¶}Department of Mathematical Sciences

Yokohama City University, Yokohama, 236–0027, Japan

[§]Department of Mathematical Sciences

Waseda University, Tokyo, 169–8555, Japan

Abstract

In this letter the explicit form of evolution operator of the Tavis–Cummings model with three and four atoms is given. This is an important progress in quantum optics or mathematical physics.

The purpose of this paper is to give an explicit form to the evolution operator of Tavis–Cummings model ([1]) with some atoms. This model is a very important one in Quantum Optics and has been studied widely, see [2] as general textbooks in quantum optics.

We are studying a quantum computation and therefore want to study the model from this point of view, namely a quantum computation based on atoms of laser-cooled and trapped linearly in a cavity. We must in this model construct the controlled NOT gate or other controlled unitary gates to perform a quantum computation, see [3] as a general introduction to this subject.

For that aim we need the explicit form of evolution operator of the models with one, two, three and four atoms (at least). As to the model of one atom or two atoms it is more or less known (see [4]), while as to the case of three and four atoms it has not been given as far as we know. Since we succeeded in finding the explicit form for three and four atoms cases we report it ([5]).

^{*}E-mail address : fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[†]E-mail address : s035577d@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[‡]E-mail address : s035559g@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[§]E-mail address : suzukita@gm.math.waseda.ac.jp

[¶]E-mail address : s035588a@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

The Tavis–Cummings model (with n -atoms) that we treat in this paper can be written as follows (we set $\hbar = 1$ for simplicity).

$$H = \omega 1_L \otimes a^\dagger a + \frac{\Delta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(3)} \otimes \mathbf{1} + g \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sigma_i^{(+)} \otimes a + \sigma_i^{(-)} \otimes a^\dagger \right), \quad (1)$$

where ω is the frequency of radiation field, Δ the energy difference of two level atoms, a and $a^\dagger$ are annihilation and creation operators of the field, and g a coupling constant, and $L = 2^n$. Here $\sigma_i^{(+)}$, $\sigma_i^{(-)}$ and $\sigma_i^{(3)}$ are given as

$$\sigma_i^{(s)} = 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \otimes \sigma_s \otimes 1_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1_2 \quad (i - \text{position}) \in M(L, \mathbf{C}) \quad (2)$$

where s is $+$, $-$ and 3 respectively and

$$\sigma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

Here let us rewrite the hamiltonian (1). If we set

$$S_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(+)}, \quad S_- = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(-)}, \quad S_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{(3)}, \quad (4)$$

then (1) can be written as

$$H = \omega 1_L \otimes a^\dagger a + \Delta S_3 \otimes \mathbf{1} + g (S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger) \equiv H_0 + V, \quad (5)$$

which is very clear. We note that $\{S_+, S_-, S_3\}$ satisfy the $su(2)$ -relation

$$[S_3, S_+] = S_+, \quad [S_3, S_-] = -S_-, \quad [S_+, S_-] = 2S_3. \quad (6)$$

However, the representation ρ defined by $\rho(\sigma_+) = S_+$, $\rho(\sigma_-) = S_-$, $\rho(\sigma_3/2) = S_3$ is a reducible representation of $su(2)$.

We would like to solve the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d}{dt} U = H U = (H_0 + V) U, \quad (7)$$

where U is a unitary operator (called the evolution operator). We can solve this equation by using the **method of constant variation**. The result is well-known to be

$$U(t) = \left(e^{-it\omega S_3} \otimes e^{-it\omega a^\dagger a} \right) e^{-itg(S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger)} \quad (8)$$

under the resonance condition $\Delta = \omega$, where we have dropped the constant unitary operator for simplicity. Therefore we have only to calculate the term (8) explicitly, which is however a very hard task¹. In the following we set

$$A_n = S_+ \otimes a + S_- \otimes a^\dagger \quad (9)$$

¹The situation is very similar to that of the paper quant-ph/0312060 in [7]

for simplicity. We can determine e^{-itgA} for $n = 1$ (one atom case), $n = 2$ (two atoms case), $n = 3$ (three atoms case) and $n = 4$ (four atoms case) completely.

One Atom Case In this case A in (9) is written as

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv B_{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

By making use of the simple relation

$$A_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} aa^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & a^\dagger a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} N+1 & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

with the number operator N we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-itgA_1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} (tg)^{2n} A_1^{2n} - i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} (tg)^{2n+1} A_1^{2n+1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(tg\sqrt{N+1}) & -i \frac{\sin(tg\sqrt{N+1})}{\sqrt{N+1}} a \\ -i \frac{\sin(tg\sqrt{N})}{\sqrt{N}} a^\dagger & \cos(tg\sqrt{N}) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We obtained the explicit form of solution. However, this form is more or less well-known, see for example the second book in [2].

Two Atoms Case In this case A in (9) is written as

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & a & 0 \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Our method is to reduce the 4×4 -matrix A_2 in (13) to a 3×3 -matrix B_1 in the following to make our calculation easier. For that aim we prepare the following matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then it is easy to see

$$T^\dagger A_2 T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 0 & \sqrt{2}a & 0 \\ & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{2}a \\ & 0 & \sqrt{2}a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & B_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $B_1 = J_+ \otimes a + J_- \otimes a^\dagger$ and $\{J_+, J_-\}$ are just generators of (spin one) irreducible representation of (3). We note that this means a well-known decomposition of spin $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = 0 \oplus 1$.

Therefore to calculate e^{-itgA_2} we have only to do e^{-itgB_1} . Noting the relation

$$B_1^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2(N+1) & 0 & 2a^2 \\ 0 & 2(2N+1) & 0 \\ 2(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & 2N \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 2(2N+3) & & \\ & 2(2N+1) & \\ & & 2(2N-1) \end{pmatrix} B_1 \equiv DB_1, \quad (14)$$

and so

$$B_1^{2n} = D^{n-1}B_1^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad B_1^{2n+1} = D^n B_1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0$$

we obtain by making use of the Taylor expansion

$$e^{-itgB_1} = \mathbf{1} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} (tg)^{2n} B_1^{2n} - i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} (tg)^{2n+1} B_1^{2n+1}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \frac{2N+2}{2N+3}f(N+1) & -ih(N+1)a & \frac{2}{2N+3}f(N+1)a^2 \\ -ih(N)a^\dagger & 1 + 2f(N) & -ih(N)a \\ \frac{2}{2N-1}f(N-1)(a^\dagger)^2 & -ih(N-1)a^\dagger & 1 + \frac{2N}{2N-1}f(N-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

where

$$f(N) = \frac{-1 + \cos(tg\sqrt{2(2N+1)})}{2}, \quad h(N) = \frac{\sin(tg\sqrt{2(2N+1)})}{\sqrt{2N+1}}.$$

Three Atoms Case In this case A in (9) is written as

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & a & 0 \\ 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

We would like to look for the explicit form of solution like (12) or (15). If we set

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then it is not difficult to see

$$T^\dagger A_3 T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & & & & \\ & & 0 & a & & \\ & & a^\dagger & 0 & & \\ & & & & 0 & \sqrt{3}a & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \sqrt{3}a^\dagger & 0 & 2a & 0 \\ & & & & 0 & 2a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{3}a \\ & & & & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3}a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} B_{1/2} & & & & \\ & B_{1/2} & & & \\ & & B_{3/2} & & \\ & & & B_{3/2} & \\ & & & & B_{3/2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This means a decomposition of spin $\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$. Therefore we have only to calculate $e^{-itgB_{3/2}}$, which is however not easy. In this case there is no simple relation like (11) or (14), so we must find another one.

Let us state **the key lemma** for that. Noting

$$B_{3/2}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3N+3 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 7N+4 & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}a^2 \\ 2\sqrt{3}(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & 7N+3 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{3}(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & 3N \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_{3/2}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3}(7N+11)a & 0 & 6a^3 \\ \sqrt{3}(7N+4)a^\dagger & 0 & 20(N+1)a & 0 \\ 0 & 20Na^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{3}(7N+3)a \\ 6(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & \sqrt{3}(7N-4)a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the relations

$$B_{3/2}^{2n+1} = B_{3/2} B_{3/2}^{2n}, \quad B_{3/2}^{2n+2} = B_{3/2}^2 B_{3/2}^{2n},$$

we can obtain $B_{3/2}^{2n}$ and $B_{3/2}^{2n+1}$ like

$$B_{3/2}^{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n(N+2) & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}\xi_n(N+2)a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_n(N+1) & 0 & 2\sqrt{3}\xi_n(N+1)a^2 \\ 2\sqrt{3}\xi_n(N)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & \gamma_n(N) & 0 \\ 0 & 2\sqrt{3}\xi_n(N-1)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & \delta_n(N-1) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (17)$$

$$B_{3/2}^{2n+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3}\beta_n(N+2)a & 0 & 6\xi_n(N+2)a^3 \\ \sqrt{3}\beta_n(N+1)a^\dagger & 0 & 2\xi_{n+1}(N+1)a & 0 \\ 0 & 2\xi_{n+1}(N)a^\dagger & 0 & \sqrt{3}\gamma_n(N)a \\ 6\xi_n(N-1)(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & \sqrt{3}\gamma_n(N-1)a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n(N) &= (v_+ \lambda_+^n - v_- \lambda_-^n)/(2\sqrt{d}), & \beta_n(N) &= (w_+ \lambda_+^n - w_- \lambda_-^n)/(2\sqrt{d}), \\ \gamma_n(N) &= (v_+ \lambda_-^n - v_- \lambda_+^n)/(2\sqrt{d}), & \delta_n(N) &= (w_+ \lambda_-^n - w_- \lambda_+^n)/(2\sqrt{d}), \\ \xi_n(N) &= (\lambda_+^n - \lambda_-^n)/(2\sqrt{d}), \end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_{\pm} \equiv \lambda_{\pm}(N)$, $v_{\pm} \equiv v_{\pm}(N)$, $w_{\pm} \equiv w_{\pm}(N)$, $d \equiv d(N)$ defined by

$$\lambda_{\pm}(N) = 5N \pm \sqrt{d(N)}, \quad v_{\pm}(N) = -2N - 3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}, \quad w_{\pm}(N) = 2N - 3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)},$$

$$d(N) = 16N^2 + 9.$$

Then by making use of (17) and (18) we have

$$e^{-itgB_{3/2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} (tg)^{2n} B_{3/2}^{2n} - i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} (tg)^{2n+1} B_{3/2}^{2n+1}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} f_2(N+2) & -\sqrt{3}iF_1(N+2)a & 2\sqrt{3}h_1(N+2)a^2 & -6iH_0(N+2)a^3 \\ -\sqrt{3}iF_1(N+1)a^{\dagger} & f_1(N+1) & -2iH_1(N+1)a & 2\sqrt{3}h_1(N+1)a^2 \\ 2\sqrt{3}h_1(N)(a^{\dagger})^2 & -2iH_1(N)a^{\dagger} & f_0(N) & -\sqrt{3}iF_0(N)a \\ -6iH_0(N-1)(a^{\dagger})^3 & 2\sqrt{3}h_1(N-1)(a^{\dagger})^2 & -\sqrt{3}iF_0(N-1)a^{\dagger} & f_{-1}(N-1) \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where

$$f_2(N) = \left\{ v_+(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - v_-(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$f_1(N) = \left\{ w_+(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - w_-(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$f_0(N) = \left\{ v_+(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) - v_-(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$f_{-1}(N) = \left\{ w_+(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) - w_-(N)\cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$h_1(N) = \left\{ \cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - \cos(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$F_1(N) = \left\{ \frac{w_+(N)}{\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - \frac{w_-(N)}{\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$F_0(N) = \left\{ \frac{v_+(N)}{\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) - \frac{v_-(N)}{\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$H_1(N) = \left\{ \sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - \sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}),$$

$$H_0(N) = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_+(N)}) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}}\sin(tg\sqrt{\lambda_-(N)}) \right\} / (2\sqrt{d(N)}).$$

Four Atoms Case In this case A_4 in (9) is written as

$$A_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & & a & & & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & & & a & & & & & & & & & \\ 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & a & & & & & & & & \\ a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & a & 0 & & & & & a & & & & & & & \\ 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & a & & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & & & & & & & a & & & & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & & & & & & & & a & & & & \\ a^\dagger & & & & & & & & 0 & a & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & & & & \\ & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & 0 & a & & & & \\ & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & & \\ & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & a & a & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & & \\ & & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & a^\dagger & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & & \\ & & & & & & & a^\dagger & & & & & & & 0 & 0 & a^\dagger & a^\dagger & 0 & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

If we set T as

$$T \equiv$$

[illegible]

then it is not difficult to see

$$T^\dagger A_4 T =$$

$$\equiv 0 \oplus B_1 \oplus 0 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_1 \oplus B_2.$$

Since we have calculated e^{-itgB_1} in (15) ([5]) we have only to do e^{-itgB_2} , which is of course hard. The proof is similar to that of the three atoms case, so we state only the result.

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} f_2(N+2) & 0 & h_1(N+2)a^2 & 0 & k_0(N+2)a^4 \\ 0 & f_1(N+1) & 0 & h_0(N+1)a^2 & 0 \\ h_1(N)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_0(N) & 0 & h_{-1}(N)a^2 \\ 0 & h_0(N-1)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_{-1}(N-1) & 0 \\ k_0(N-2)(a^\dagger)^4 & 0 & h_{-1}(N-2)(a^\dagger)^2 & 0 & f_{-2}(N-2) \end{pmatrix} + \\ & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2iF_1(N+2)a & 0 & -2iH_0(N+2)a^3 & 0 \\ -2iF_1(N+1)a^\dagger & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_1(N+1)a & 0 & -2iH_0(N+1)a^3 \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_1(N)a^\dagger & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_{-1}(N)a & 0 \\ -2iH_0(N-1)(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & -\frac{i}{2}H_{-1}(N-1)a^\dagger & 0 & -2iF_{-1}(N-1)a \\ 0 & -2iH_0(N-2)(a^\dagger)^3 & 0 & -2iF_{-1}(N-2)a^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(N) &= 1 + 4(N-1)\{(u_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (u_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\ f_1(N) &= (u_+ \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - u_- \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-})/\sqrt{d}, \\ f_0(N) &= 1 + 2\{(v_+w_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (v_-w_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\ f_{-1}(N) &= (u_+ \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - u_- \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+})/\sqrt{d}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{-2}(N) &= 1 + 4(N+2)\{(u_+/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1) - (u_-/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_1(N) &= 2\{(v_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (v_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_0(N) &= (\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - \cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-})/\sqrt{d}, \\
h_{-1}(N) &= 2\{(w_+/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (w_-/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
k_0(N) &= 4\{(1/\lambda_+)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - 1) - (1/\lambda_-)(\cos tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - 1)\}/\sqrt{d},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(N) &= \{(u_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - (u_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
F_{-1}(N) &= \{(u_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_-} - (u_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_+}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_1(N) &= 2\{(v_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - (v_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_0(N) &= \{(1/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - (1/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}, \\
H_{-1}(N) &= 2\{(w_+/\sqrt{\lambda_+}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_+} - (w_-/\sqrt{\lambda_-}) \sin tg\sqrt{\lambda_-}\}/\sqrt{d}
\end{aligned}$$

, and $d \equiv d(N)$, $\lambda_{\pm} \equiv \lambda_{\pm}(N)$, $u_{\pm} \equiv u_{\pm}(N)$, $v_{\pm} \equiv v_{\pm}(N)$ and $w_{\pm} \equiv w_{\pm}(N)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\pm}(N) &= 10N + 5 \pm 3\sqrt{d(N)}, \quad u_{\pm}(N) = \frac{1}{2}(-3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \\
v_{\pm}(N) &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(2N - 1 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \quad w_{\pm}(N) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2}}(2N + 3 \pm \sqrt{d(N)}), \\
d(N) &= 4N^2 + 4N + 9.
\end{aligned}$$

A comment is in order. We note that in the process of calculation we used **Mathematica** to the fullest (a calculation by hand might be “painful”). We would like to generalize the results in this paper to the cases of more than four atoms. However, it is not easy to perform a calculation due to some severe technical reasons. There is a (big ?) gap between the four atoms and the five ones.

We obtained the explicit form of evolution operator of the Tavis–Cummings model for three and four atoms cases. This is a big progress in quantum optics or mathematical physics². Therefore, many applications to quantum physics or mathematical physics will be expected, see for example papers in [4].

We can also apply the result(s) to a quantum computation based on atoms of laser-cooled and trapped linearly in a cavity [6].

We conclude this paper by making a comment. The Tavis–Cummings model is based on (only) two energy levels of atoms. However, an atom has in general infinitely many energy levels, so it is natural to use this possibility. We are also studying a quantum computation based on multi-level systems of atoms (a qudit theory) [7]. Therefore we would like to extend the Tavis–Cummings model based on two-levels to a model based on multi-levels. This is a very challenging task.

²To obtain an explicit solution for some (interesting) model is still important

References

- [1] M. Tavis and F. W. Cummings : Exact Solution for an N-Molecule-Radiation-Field Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. 170(1968), 379 ; E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings : Comparison of Quantum and Semiclassical Radiation Theories with Applications to the Beam Maser, Proc. IEEE 51(1963), 89 ; R. H. Dicke : Coherence in Spontaneous Radiation Processes, Phys. Rev, 93(1954), 99.
- [2] L. Allen and J. H. Eberly : Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms, Wiley, New York, 1975 ; P. Meystre and M. Sargent III : Elements of Quantum Optics (third edition), Springer-Verlag, 1990 ; Claude Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc and G. Grynberg : Atom-Photon Interactions ; Basic Processes and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1998.
- [3] K. Fujii : Introduction to Grassmann Manifolds and Quantum Computation, J. Applied Math, 2(2002), 371, quant-ph/0103011 ; A. Barenco, C. H. Bennett, R. Cleve, D. P. Vincenzo, N. Margolus, P. Shor, T. Sleator, J. Smolin and H. Weinfurter : Elementary gates for quantum computation, Phys. Rev. A 52(1995), 3457, quant-ph/9503016.
- [4] M. Orszag, R. Ramirez, J. C. Retamal and C. Saavedra : Quantum cooperative effects in a micromaser, Phys. Rev. A 49 (1994), 2933 ; M. S. Kim, J. Lee, D. Ahn and P. L. Knight : Entanglement induced by a single-mode heat environment, Phys. Rev. A 65 (2002), 040101, quant-ph/0109052 ; C. Genes, P. R. Berman and a. G. Rojo : Spin squeezing via atom - cavity field coupling, quant-ph/0306205 ;
- [5] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Explicit Form of Solution of Two Atoms Tavis-Cummings Model, quant-ph/0403008 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato, T. Suzuki and Y. Wada : Explicit Form of the Evolution Operator for the Three Atoms Tavis-Cummings Model, quant-ph/0404034 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato, T. Suzuki and Y. Wada : Explicit Form of the Evolution Operator for the Four Atoms Tavis-Cummings Model, quant-ph/0406184.
- [6] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Cavity QED and Quantum Computation in the Weak Coupling Regime, quant-ph/0407014.
- [7] K. Fujii : Exchange Gate on the Qudit Space and Fock Space, J. Opt. B : Quantum Semiclass. Opt, 5(2003), S613, quant-ph/0207002 ; K. Fujii : Quantum Optical Construction of Generalized Pauli and Walsh-Hadamard Matrices in Three Level Systems, quant-ph/0309132 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : N Level System with RWA and Analytical Solutions Revisited, quant-ph/0307066 ; K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : A Rabi Oscillation in Four and Five Level Systems, quant-ph/0312060 ; K. Funahashi : Explicit Construction of Controlled-U and Unitary Transformation in Two-Qudit, quant-ph/0304078.